IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

No. S143 of 2018

BETWEEN:

10

30

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA
FILED
0 6 AUG 2018
THE REGISTRY SYDNEY

BIANCA HOPE RINEHART

First Appellant

JOHN LANGLEY HANCOCK

Second Appellant

and

HANCOCK PROSPECTING PTY LTD (ACN 008 676 417) AND OTHERS NAMED IN THE SCHEDULE TO THE NOTICE OF APPEAL

Respondents

NINTH AND ELEVENTH RESPONDENTS' SUBMISSIONS

20 Part I: Certification

These submissions are in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

Part II: Concise Statement of Issues

The issues in the appeal are those identified by the Ninth and Eleventh Respondents in their written submissions (as First and Second Respondents) in High Court Proceedings No. S144 of 2018 filed on 3 August 2018 at [2] – [9].

Part III: Certification with respect to s78B of the Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth)

The Ninth and Eleventh Respondents agree that no notice is required to be given in compliance with s78B of the *Judiciary Act 1903* (Cth).

Part IV: Statement of Material Facts

The Ninth and Eleventh Respondents adopt the statement of material facts set out in their written submissions (as First and Second Respondents) in High Court Proceedings No. S144 of 2018 filed on 3 August 2018 at [11] – [28].

Speed & Stracey Lawyers Level 4, 131 Macquarie Street SYDNEY NSW 2000 Telephone: (02) 9251 8000

Fax: (02) 9251 5788 Email: pspeed@sslaw.com.au

Ref: Peter Speed

Part V: Argument in Answer to the Argument of the Appellants

The Ninth and Eleventh Respondents adopt the argument in answer to the argument of the appellants set out in their written submissions (as First and Second Respondents) in High Court Proceedings No. S144 of 2018 filed on 3 August 2018 at [29] – [88].

Part VI: Cross-Appeal

The Ninth and Eleventh Respondents do not wish to be heard on the HPPL Respondents' application for special leave to cross-appeal (and in any appeal).

10 Part VII: Time for Oral Argument

The Ninth and Eleventh Respondents do not require time for the presentation of oral argument in addition to the time allocated for oral argument in High Court Proceedings No. S144 of 2018

Dated: 6 August 2018

Steven Finch 02 9232 4609 finch@tenthfloor.org

02 9238 0264 cbova@elevenwentworth.com

Christian Bova

Stuart Lawrance 02 9232 4609 lawrance@tenthfloor.org

Counsel for the Ninth and Eleventh Respondents

SCHEDULE

HANCOCK MINERALS PTY LTD (ACN 057 326 824)

Second Respondent

TADEUSZ JOSEF WATROBA

Third Respondent

WESTRAINT RESOURCES PTY LTD (ACN 009 083 783)

10 Fourth Respondent

HMHT INVESTMENTS PTY LTD (ACN 070 550 104)

Fifth Respondent

ROY HILL IRON ORE PTY LTD (ACN 123 722 038)

Sixth Respondent

HOPE DOWNS IRON ORE PTY LTD (ACN 071 514 308)

Seventh Respondent

20

MULGA DOWNS IRON ORE PTY LTD (ACN 080 659 150)

Eighth Respondent

GEORGINA HOPE RINEHART (IN HER PERSON CAPACITY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE HOPE MARGARET HANCOCK TRUST AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE HFMF TRUST)

Ninth Respondent

HANCOCK FAMILY MEMORIAL FOUNDATION LTD (ACN 008 499 312)

30 Tenth Respondent

150 INVESTMENTS PTY LTD (ACN 070 550 159)

Eleventh Respondent

HOPE RINEHART WELKER

Twelfth Respondent

GINIA HOPE FRANCES RINEHART

Thirteenth Respondent

40

MAX CHRISTOPHER DONNELLY (IN HIS CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF THE LATE LANGLEY GEORGE HANCOCK)

Fourteenth Respondent

MULGA DOWNS INVESTMENTS PTY LTD (ACN 132 484 050)

Fifteenth Respondent