IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

No S186 of 2017

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR NEW SOUTH

WALES

Appellant

and

GARRY BURNS

First Respondent

TESS CORBETT

Second Respondent

ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF THE

COMMONWEALTH

Third Respondent

FIRST RESPONDENT'S SUBMISSIONS

Part I: Publication of Submissions

1 These submissions are in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

Part II: Issues

2 The issues in this appeal are:

a. whether the Civil and Administrative Tribunal of New South Wales (NCAT), which is not a court of the State, has jurisdiction to hear and determine proceedings under the *Anti Discrimination Act 1977* (NSW)

Date of document:

16 August 2017

Filed on behalf of:

The first respondent

Allens

Telephone: (02) 9230 4000

Lawyers

Fax: (02) 9230 5333

Deutsche Bank Place

Email: Andrea.Martignoni@allens.com.au

Corner Hunter and Phillip Streets

Ref: AVMS:RSKS:120561576

Sydney NSW 2000 rsks A0140099355v1 120561576

Contact Name: Andrea Martignoni

10

20



(AD Act) where one of the parties to the proceedings is resident in another State.

- b. further, and in particular, whether the exercise of State diversity jurisdiction by NCAT in relation to claims arising under the AD Act creates an inconsistency with s 39(2) of the *Judiciary Act* 1903 (Cth) (**Judiciary Act**) and therefore, by the operation of s 109 of the Constitution, the AD Act is inoperative to that extent; and
 - c. whether there is an implied limitation on the legislative power of the State of New South Wales that prevents the conferral of judicial power on NCAT to deal with matter identified in s 75(iv) of the Constitution.

Part III:

10

Notices in compliance with section 78B of the Judiciary Act 1903 have been given by the Appellant.

Part IV:

4 There are no contested material facts.

Part V:

In addition to the appellant's statement of applicable constitutional provisions, statutes and regulations, the first respondent relies on his submissions in Matter No S183 of 2017 as to other constitutional provisions, statutes and regulations.

Part VI:

20

- 6 The first respondent endorses the submissions of the appellant.
- Further, if it needs to be said, even though the AD Act provides a qualified right to appeal, being only on a question of law (see s 83 of the *Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act, 2013 (NSW)*), that does not alter, impair or detract from the conditional and universal operation of federal law. It is but another example of the appellate structure that exists in various state and federal legislation that confine rights of appeal but remain compliant with the

conditions in s. 39B of the Judiciary Act; see for example s 39 of the *Local Court Act 1979* (NSW); s 44 of the *Administrative Appeal Tribunal Act 1975* (Cth); s 57 of the *Land and Environment Court Act 1979* (NSW); and s 179B of the *Industrial Relations Act 1996* (NSW); and s 127 of the *District Court Act 1973* (NSW).

Part VII:

8 Not applicable.

Part VIII:

The first respondent estimates that oral submissions in reply, if any, would require no more than 15 minutes.

Dated: 16 August 2017

Kylie Nomchong \
Denman Chambers

d. Nauclon

20

Tel: +61 2 8998 8000 Fax: +61 2 9264 5541

e: ktn@denmanchambers.com.au

Kate Madgwick

Ground Floor Wentworth Chambers

Tel: +61 2 9230 3228

Fax: +61 2 8028 6093 e: kmadgwick@wentworthchambers.com.au