## IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE REGISTRY

No. M 128 of 2011

B E T W E E N:

## THE BOARD OF BENDIGO REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNICAL AND FURTHER EDUCATION

Appellant

10

and

5

## GREGORY PAUL BARCLAY and AUSTRALIAN EDUCATION UNION

First Respondent

Second Respondent

15

## APPELLANT'S SUBMISSIONS ON COSTS (Filed pursuant to the Orders of the Court made 7 September 2012)

- 20 1. The Appellant seeks an order that the Respondents and the Minister pay its costs of the appeal.
  - 2. The costs prohibition in s.570 of the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) (**FW Act**) does not apply. The prohibition in s.570 of the FW Act applies only where the court is *"exercising jurisdiction under"* the FW Act.<sup>1</sup>
- 25 3. In this proceeding, the Court is exercising its appellate jurisdiction under s.73(ii) of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 (Cth), consequent upon the grant of leave by order made on 2 September 2011 (AB415). It is not "exercising jurisdiction under" the FW Act.<sup>2</sup> The FW Act does not confer jurisdiction on the High Court of Australia.<sup>3</sup>

Date: 10 September 2012 Filed on behalf of the Appellant **Lander & Rogers** Level 12, 600 Bourke Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000 Email: <u>jriekert@landers.com.au</u> HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA FILED 10 SEP 2012 THE REGISTRY MELBOURNE

Solicitors Code: 211 DX 370 Melbourne Tel: (03) 9269 9363 Fax: (03) 9269 9001 Ref: JGR 2011088 Attention: Julian Riekert

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is a conscious departure from the more generous prohibition in predecessor provisions, which extended to "matters arising under" the Act: Explanatory Memorandum to the Fair Work Bill 2009 (Cth) at [2228]-[2229]. See Construction Forestry Mining and Energy Union v Australian Industrial Relations Commission (2001) 203 CLR 645 at 660.3 to 660.8 [42]-[43]. See also Australasian Meat Industry Employees' Union v Fair Work Australia (No. 2) [2012] FCAFC 103 at [4] (Jessup and Tracey JJ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compare Re McJannet; Ex parte the Australian Workers' Union of Employees, Queensland (No.2) (1997) 189 CLR 654 at 657.3 to 657.5 in which the provision under consideration was also the former s.347 of the Industrial Relations Act 1988 (Cth)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jurisdiction is conferred on the Federal Court of Australia (FW Act, s.562) or, in limited circumstances, the Federal Magistrates Court of Australia and some state courts (for example, FW Act, ss.545(1), (2), (3) and (3A)).

- 4. The intervention of the Minister by the grant of leave by the Court,<sup>4</sup> rather than by right under s.569 of the FW Act, renders the exception to the operation of s.570 in s.569(3) of the FW Act inapplicable.
- 5. Nevertheless, as a party to the proceeding, upon the grant of leave, the Minister is exposed to the costs consequences of the intervention.
- 6. There is no reason why costs should not follow the event.
- 7. The Appellant seeks orders that:
  - (1) The Respondents pay 85 per cent of the Appellant's costs of the appeal.
  - (2) The Minister pay 15 per cent of the Appellant's costs of the appeal.

10

5

JUSTIN L BOURKE SC (03) 9225 8317 jlbourke@vicbar.com.au

DATED: 10 September 2012

PAUL M O'GRADY (03) 9225 7786 paul.ogrady@vicbar.com.au

Julian Riekert Partner **LANDER & ROGERS** Solicitors for the Appellant Tel: (03) 9269 9363 Fax: (03) 9269 9001 Email: jriekert@landers.com.au

<sup>4</sup> Leave granted on 29 March 2012, transcript page 68, lines 3040 to 3065.