IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE REGISTRY

No. M143 of 2013

BETWEEN:

FTZK

Appellant

and

10

Minister for Immigration and Citizenship

First Respondent

Administrative Appeals Tribunal

Second Respondent

1 3 DEC 2013 REGISTRY MELBOURNE PPELLANT'S CHRONOLOGY

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

Part I:

The Appellant certifies that this chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

Part II:

	Date	Event	Appeal Book Reference
1.	24 July 1996	Appellant's passport is issued	
		by the PRC authorities.	
2.	20 December 1996	Date of alleged crimes.	
3.	14 January 1997	Appellant applies for, and is granted, Class UC	
		Temporary Business	
		Subclass 465 visa.	
4.	1 February 1997	Appellant enters Australia.	

Filed on behalf of the Appellant

Prepared by Gina Wilson (GLW:6125915)

Law firm: Maddocks Lawyers

Tel: 03 9258 3005 Fax: 03 9258 3666

Email: gina.wilson@maddocks.com.au

Address for service 140 William Street Melbourne VIC 3000

[6125915: 12162449_1]

30

5.	23 May 1997	Date of "further investigation	
		transcript" of alleged co-	
		accused Zhong WEIDONG,	
		implicating the appellant.	
6.	24 May 1997	Date of "investigation	
		transcript" of Zhijun WU,	
		implicating the appellant.	
7.	26 May 1997	Warrant issued for appellant's	
		arrest.	
8.	21 May 1998	Zhong WEIDONG and Wu	
		ZHIJUAN executed in Tianjin.	
9.	June 1998	Australian Federal Police	4
		receive a copy of an arrest	
		warrant for the Appellant	
		issued in the PRC.	
10.	8 December 1998	Appellant lodges application	
		for a protection visa.	
		Bridging visa granted.	
11.	20 January 1999	Delegate refuses protection	
	•	visa.	
12.	16 February 1999	Appellant applies to the	
		Refugee Review Tribunal	
		("RRT") for review of	
		protection visa refusal.	
13.	21 January 2000	Bridging visa expires.	
		Appellant becomes "unlawful"	
		in the community.	
14.	24 February 2004	Appellant placed in	
	•	immigration detention.	
15.	27 February 2004	Appellant lodges an	
		application for a bridging visa.	
16.	2 March 2004	Appellant refused a bridging	
		visa.	
17.	10 March 2004	Interpol Red Notice issued.	
18.	24 March 2004	Appellant allegedly attempts	
		to escape immigration	
		detention.	
19.	23 June 2004	Appellant first advised by the	
		Department of Immigration of	
		existence of arrest warrant.	
20.	23 May 2005	In light of relevant Federal	
۷٠.	20 Iviay 2000	Court authority (<i>Ta Srey</i>) the	
		Journal adminity (14 orey) tile	<u> </u>

			Department of Immigration	
			determines the Appellant had	
			not been properly notified of	
			previous refusal of visa.	
			Bridging visa deemed current	
			and the Appellant is released.	
10	21.	25 May 2005	Upon presenting to the	
			Department of Immigration	
			the Appellant is served with a	
			notice of intention to consider	
			cancellation of his bridging	
			visa.	
			Appellant detained.	
	22.	22 May 2006	Written assurance provided	
			to the Australian government	
			from PRC authorities that	
			Appellant will not be subject	
			to capital punishment or any	
			other cruel, inhuman or	
20			degrading treatment.	
	23.	5 October 2007	Appellant's representatives	
	į		file application with High	
			Court seeking review of 1999	
			RRT decision denying	
			protection visa and injunction	
			preventing removal from	
			Australia.	
	24.	18 October 2007	High Court issues injunction	
			to prevent Appellant's	
			removal from Australia –	
			application remitted to the	
5)			Federal Court.	
30	25.	18 March 2008	Minister withdraws from	
			protection visa application.	
			Application remitted to RRT	
			for reconsideration.	
	26.	24 September	RRT affirms decision to	
		2008	refuse grant of protection	-
	1	1	1	1

[6125915: 12162449_1]

visa.

27.	21 October 2008	Appellant seeks review of	
		RRT decision to Federal	
		Magistrates Court.	
28.	20 January 2009	Minister withdraws from	
		protection visa application	
To the state of th		action.	
		Application remitted to RRT	
		for reconsideration.	1
29.	11 May 2010	RRT finds Appellant is owed	
1		protection obligations in	
		Australia for reason of his	
		political opinion, however	
		notes that further	
		consideration by the	
		Department of Immigration	
		could include the application	
		of Article 1F.	
30.	24 May 2011	Decision of delegate to refuse	
		grant of protection visa on the	
		basis that the Appellant is	
		excluded from the operation	•
		of the Convention by reason	
		of Article 1F(b).	
31.	26 May 2011	Appellant lodges request for	<u> </u>
		merits review in the	
		Administrative Appeals	
		Tribunal ("the Tribunal").	
32.	29 November 2011	Department of Immigration	,
		receives copies from Ministry	
		of Public Security in Beijing	
		files held in relation to the	
		murder investigation.	
33.	23 May 2012	Tribunal affirms decision of	
		delegate to refuse grant of	
		protection visa.	
34.	2 August 2012	Appellant files Amended	
		Notice of Appeal in the	
		Federal Court.	
35.	6 May 2013	Full Federal Court dismiss	
		the appeal.	

36.	8 November 2013	The High Court grants special	
		leave to appeal.	!

Dated:

13 December 2013

GERRY NASH

NOLA KARAPANAGIOTIDIS

Maddock

Gina Wilson

Maddocks Lawyers

Telephone: (03) 9258 3005 Facsimile: (03) 9258 3666

Email: gina.wilson@maddocks.com.au

20

10

