IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA PERTH REGISTRY

No.

P48 of 2016

BETWEEN:

## WESTERN AUSTRALIAN PLANNING COMMISSION

Appellant

Respondent

and

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA FILED

0 6 OCT 2016

THE REGISTRY PERTH

0 0 001 2010

TREVOR NEIL LEITH

APPELLANT'S CHRONOLOGY

20

Part I:

I certify that this chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

## Part II:

Date	Event	Appeal book
		reference
23.10.2002	Notice is published in the Government	
	Gazette containing the text of the Peel Region	
	Scheme (PRS); stating that it has been	
	approved and is to be tabled in Parliament.	
20.03.2003	The PRS comes into effect. A portion of Lot	
	20 Estuary Road, Dawesville (Lot 20) is	
	reserved for Regional Open Space and is	
	thereby injuriously affected.	
25.03.2003	The Respondent enters into a contract to	
	purchase Lot 20 for \$1,280,000.	
04.04.2003	Notice is published in the Government	
	Gazette that the PRS came into effect on	
	20.03.2003.	
30.06.2003	Settlement of the contract of sale of Lot 20	
	occurs. Transfer of Lot 20 to the Respondent	
	is registered.	
09.12.2004	Decision of the High Court in WAPC v	
	Temwood Holdings Pty Ltd [2004] HCA 63;	
	(2004) 221 CLR 30.	
09.04.2006	Commencement of Part 11 of Planning and	
	Development Act 2005 (WA). Repeal of	
	Western Australian Planning Commission Act	
	1985 (WA); Metropolitan Region Town	*
	Planning Scheme Act 1959 (WA) and the	
	Town Planning and Development Act 1928	
	(WA) takes effect.	

State Solicitor's Office David Malcolm Justice Centre 28 Barrack Street PERTH WA 6000 Telephone: 08 9264 1484

Fax: 08 9264 1440

Email: s.willey@sso.wa.gov.au

Ref: Stephen Willey

30

40

50

	11.06.2009	A development application for proposed	
		development within the Regional Open Space	
		reserve over Lot 20 is refused by the	
		Defendant.	
10	29.06.2009	A claim for compensation for injurious	
		affection for \$20,000,000 lodged with the	
		appellant by the Respondent's solicitors.	
	04.03.2010	The Appellant's solicitors advised the	
		Respondent's solicitors that, while the	
		Appellant acknowledged receipt of the	
		Respondent's claim for compensation, the	
		Appellant did not offer any amount of	
		compensation because the Respondent did not	
		own Lot 20 at the time the PRS was made.	
20	01.07.2014	Supreme Court proceedings CIV 1921 of	
		2014 commenced.	
	26.11.2014	Hearing of the stated case before Beech J.	
	22.12.2014	Reasons for Decision and Orders of Beech J.	
	30.01.2015	Appellant commences appeal to Court of	
		Appeal.	
	23.10.2015	Court of Appeal hearing.	
	24.03.2016	Reasons for Decision and Orders of Court of	
		Appeal.	
	19.04.2016	Appellant lodges application for special leave	
20		to appeal in High Court.	
30	01.09.2016	Special leave granted by Kiefel and Nettle JJ.	

Dated this 6<sup>th</sup> day of October 2016

KM Pettit SC

Francis Burt Chambers

TC Russell

State Solicitor's Office

Telephone:

(08) 9264 1888

Facsimile:

(08) 9264 1111

Email: t.russell@sso.wa.gov.au

40