IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

NO S49 OF 2011

PLAINTIFF S49/2011

IMMIGRATION AND CITIZENSHIP

BETWEEN:

Plaintiff

First Defendant

Second Defendant

AND: MINISTER FOR IMMIGRATION AND CITIZENSHIP SECRETARY FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AND:

THE REGISTRY SYDNEY

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

FILED

- 8 NOV 2011

PLAINTIFF'S CHRONOLOGY

PART 1

This chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the Internet.

PART	2
------	---

Date	Event	Bundle
1998		
17 June	Application for protection visa as a national of India	1-23
17 August	Decision by DIAC for protection visa with reasons: refused	27-31
2000		
12 July	Application for review to RRT	32-68
	- -	
2001		
1 May	Decision by RRT with reasons: affirmed	69-92
2003		
16 June	Plaintiff detained at Villawood Immigration Detention Centre	254
2004		
6 September	Initial requests for ministerial intervention under ss 48B and 417 as a national of Bangladesh	103-104
12 October	MIU officer requested information from plaintiff to substantiate claim as a national of Bangladesh, to be provided by 27 October 2004	122-123
19 October	MIU officer finalised summary of plaintiff's request for schedule	128-129

Filed on behalf of the Plaintiff by: Parish Patience Immigration Lawyers Level 1, 338, Pitt Street Sydney NSW 2000

Date of this document: 4 November 2011 Contact: David Bitel / Howard Murdoch File ref: 31810525 Telephone: 02 9286 8700 Facsimile: 02 9283 3323 E-mail: hmu@ppilaw.com.au

21 October	MUL officer referred a 417 request to Minister in a school de	107
	MIU officer referred s 417 request to Minister in a schedule	127
21 October	Department received further information from plaintiff	124
27 October	Deadline for submission of further information expired	122-123
9 November	Minister considered schedule under s 417	130-132
12 November	Letter sent notifying plaintiff of adverse decision under s 417	132
2005		
2005 29 August	Department initiated s 195A request	254
3 November	Minister exercised power under s 195A to grant plaintiff a return	254
o november	pending bridging visa; plaintiff released from Villawood Immigration Detention Centre	204
22 December	MIU officer made decision not to refer s 48B initial request	254
2008		
28 August	Plaintiff ceased to hold return pending bridging visa	141
5 December	Minister's guidelines on s 417 reissued	345
2009	Demost very verte for ministry in lister under an 40D and 447	140.000
15 June	Repeat requests for ministerial intervention under ss 48B and 417, with annexures and supporting documentation	148-328
1 July	Minister's guidelines on s 48B reissued	390
8 October	MIU officer made decision not to refer s 48B repeat request	272
13 October	Letter sent notifying plaintiff of adverse decision under s 48B	273
2010		
4 February	Internal email: describing department's satisfaction that plaintiff is a national of India but advising that he should be told of this	280-281
16 February	Internal email: stating that Indian authorities recognised plaintiff as Indian citizen; describing process of obtaining travel document	290-292
2 November	MIU officer referred s 417 repeat request to Minister with submission	246-256
25 November	Minister decided not to intervene under s 417	253
1 December	Letter sent to plaintiff notifying adverse decision under s 417	258-259
2011		
1 February	Application for order to show cause filed	N/A

•

Dated 8 NOVEMBER 2011

el

Name: David Bitel

Telephone: 02 9386 8700 Facsimile: 02 9283 3323 E-mail: hmu@ppilaw.com.au

. •

, 1

Page 2